



# Annual Informal Consultation on Evaluation

Rome – 17 May 2007

Caroline Heider, Director, Office of Evaluation

# Overview of Annual Consultation on Evaluation

- Results-Framework for Evaluation
- Work Programme for 2008-2009
- Annual Evaluation Report
- Peer Review of WFP's Evaluation Function

# Results-Framework for Evaluation

**Goal: WFP Continuously Improves Performance to Fulfill its Mission Better**

**Objective: Enhance the Effectiveness of Evaluation at WFP**

**Recognized "Center of Excellence" for Evaluation**

**Corporate Culture of Accountability & Learning**

## **Choosing Strategic Evaluations**

### Strategic Concerns

- UN Reform Process
- Latest Issues in Humanitarian Assistance and Food Assistance
- WFP Strategic Plan & Priorities
- Key Improvement Concerns

### Representative Sampling

- Criteria

## **Quality of Evaluations & Process**

- Communication Process
- Quality Standards for Evaluations
- Quality Assurance Process for Evaluations
- Documenting Comments on Evaluation Reports
- Management Responses
- Tracking Systems for Responses

## **Feedback & Reporting**

- Project Review Committee
- Programme Quality Assurance
- Internal Evaluation Committee
- EB Interaction
  - Evaluations
  - Consultation
- Annual Evaluation Report

### Independence of Centralized Evaluations

- Structural - Reporting Lines
- Systemic - Impartiality
- Individual - Integrity

### Decentralized Evaluation Capacity

- Responsibilities & Commitment
- Enabling - Knowledge, Skill & Tools
- Accountability

# OEDE Work Programme

## Types of Evaluations

## Planned for 2008-2009

Thematic

4 new + 2 from 2006-2007

Country-level Evaluations

2

Individual Operations, including  
Real-Time Evaluations

10

Joint Evaluations

To be determined

## Thematic Evaluations

### Continued from 2006-2007

- Gender
- Emergency Preparedness & Response

### New in 2008-2009

- IDPs and refugees
- School-feeding
- Social protection in Southern Africa
- Chronic food insecurity
- NGO Partnerships
- Food fortification

# Evaluation Coverage

	Number of Operations	Value of Operations
<u>Cumulative Historic Total Evaluation Coverage</u> <i>(as percentage of operations that were operational January 2007)</i>		
Large Operations	18.4%	37.6%
Operations longer than 12 Months	12.9%	41.7%
High Profile Operations	60%	
Number of Operations in 2006	5.3%	

<u>Projected for 2008-2009 Biennium</u> <i>(based on 2007 projected beneficiary needs and planned evaluations)</i>		
Single Operations Evaluations	6.3%	10.7%
Single Operations & Country-Level Evaluations	9.4%	15.4%
High Profile Operations	30%	

# Annual Evaluation Report

## Findings

- Similar Performance of Humanitarian & Development Assistance

## Strengths

- High Degree of Relevance & Appropriateness
- Strong Field Presence & Clear Operational Role
- Logistics

## Weaknesses

- Pipeline Breaks & Uneven Resource Availability
- M&E Generally Not Generating Outcome & Effectiveness Data
- Quality of Decentralized Evaluations

## Next Steps

- Increase Effectiveness of Evaluations
  - Quality Standards & Quality Assurance Process for Evaluations
  - Screening of Prioritizing Recommendations
  - Tracking Follow-up Actions and Lessons Learned

# Peer Review of WFP's Evaluation Function

- Purpose: Determine Independence and Quality of Evaluation at WFP
- Panel Members: Sweden, Netherlands, OCHA, CARE, and 1 Independent
- Timeline:
  - December 2006: Official Request
  - April 26-27 2007: First Panel Meeting in Rome
  - June-July 2007: Preparatory Work
  - September 2007: Panel Interviews
  - October 2007: Internal Feedback
  - February 2008: Report Presented to EB