

برنامج
الاعذية
العالمي



Programme
Alimentaire
Mondial

World
Food
Programme

Programa
Mundial
de Alimentos

**Executive Board
Second Regular Session**

Rome, 22 - 24 May 1996

DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS FOR EXECUTIVE BOARD APPROVAL

Agenda item 5 a)



Distribution: GENERAL
WFP/EB.2/196/5-A/Add.4/Corr.1
(Arabic and English)
21 May 1996
ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

CORRIGENDUM TO PROJECT CUBA 5686

(WIS No. CUB 0568600)

Agricultural production for food security in Granma province

Page 16 should be replaced by the text overleaf.

This document is produced in a limited number of copies.
Delegates and observers are kindly requested to bring it to the meetings and to refrain from asking for additional copies.

PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN

Government costs and WFP credits

Component	Government	WFP	Total ¹
- Forestry plantations	2 800 000	700 000	3 500 000
- Research pilot projects	1 250 000	-	1 250 000
- Social infrastructure	2 900 000	-	2 900 000
- Electrification (solar pumps)	600 000	-	600 000
- Training	1 000 000	-	1 000 000
- Monitoring and Evaluation	100 000	100 000	200 000
- Project management	250 000	-	250 000
- Loan management	320 000	-	320 000
- Windmills	390 000	-	390 000
- Unforeseen	470 000	-	470 000

Total	27 740 000	15 100 000	42 840 000
--------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

TOTAL GOVERNMENT COSTS	27 740 000
-------------------------------	-------------------

External funding (UNICEF, FAO, PAHO)	500 000
---	----------------

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (WFP and Government)	45 436 850
---	-------------------

WFP costs as a percentage of total project costs: 37 percent

¹ This is a notional food basket used for budgeting and approval purposes. The precise mix and actual quantities of commodities to be supplied to the project, as in all WFP-assisted projects may vary over time depending on the availability of commodities to WFP and domestically within the recipient country.

COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION

54. The project idea and project outline have been circulated for technical review to the specialized United Nations agencies, particularly FAO, ILO, UNESCO and the Department of Technical Cooperation (DTCD) of the United Nations. Their comments, as well as FAO comments during the appraisal mission debriefing, have been taken into account by the appraisal mission for the preparation of the project summary. An ILO consultant was fielded for the appraisal mission, along with consultants in irrigation, drainage, farming systems, cooperatives and credit systems, all of whom have many years of experience in FAO, United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) and ILO. The project summary was submitted to FAO, ILO and DTCD for final technical review, and their eventual additional comments will be reflected in the project plan of operations. Clearance of the Consultative Sub-Committee on Surplus Disposal (CSD) was requested.

