

# **Evaluation of Central African Republic WFP Interim Country Strategic Plan 2018-2022**

SAVING LIVES CHANGING LIVES

February 2023 Round table on evaluations

### CONTEXT

#### Instability, poverty, chronic malnutrition:

- Prolonged crisis since the 2013 coup d'état, violence and displacements
- Economy largely based on the agricultural sector
- 188<sup>th</sup> out of 189 countries in the 2018 **Gender Inequality Index**
- 117<sup>th</sup> out of 117 in the 2020 Global Hunger Index
- Under-5 **chronic malnutrition**: 42%



# ICSP 2018-2022

#### FIVE STRATEGIC OUTCOMES (% of budget after BR06 of October 2021)

**SO1** 

Crisis-affected
households and
communities in
targeted areas can
meet their basic food
and nutrition needs,
both during and in
the aftermath of
crises

**SO2** 

Vulnerable groups, including persons with disabilities, children, pregnant and lactating women and girls, and malnourished antiretroviral treatment patients living in targeted regions, have an improved nutritional status in line with national targets by 2022

**SO3** 

Food-insecure
women and men
living in targeted
areas have
enhanced
livelihoods to
support the food
security and
nutrition needs of
their households and
communities by 2022

**SO4** 

National and subnational institutions have strengthened capacities to establish an adequate social protection system and manage food security and nutrition policies and programmes by 2022

**SO5** 

The humanitarian community (partners and donors) has enhanced capacity to reach and operate in areas of humanitarian crisis all year-round

**76%** 

4.8%

5.3%

0.4%

13.5%

# **EVALUATION METHODOLOGY**



- Utilization-focused and consultative approach using mixed-methods
- Gender, protection, and humanitarian principles integrated
- Access and data availability challenges

# **FINDINGS**

# Q1 TO WHAT EXTENT ARE WFP'S STRATEGIC POSITION, ROLE AND SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION BASED ON COUNTRY PRIORITIES AND PEOPLE'S NEEDS, AS WELL AS WFP'S STRENGTHS?



WFP valued for its **emergency response.** More to be done to strategically position itself in **resilience** 



WFP **sectoral strategies** and **international commitments** guided WFP action



**Adaptability** efforts, including during COVID-19, and through adoption of large-scale cash-based transfers



Key role in the **2017-2021 UNDAF** and humanitarian response plans. Partnerships in resilience limited

# Q2 WHAT IS THE EXTENT AND QUALITY OF WFP'S SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION TO ICSP STRATEGIC OUTCOMES?



**SO1 Emergencies:** good coverage, lower than planned distribution volumes. Food security outcomes stable



**SO2 Nutrition/School Feeding**: prevention and treatment performance affected by supply shortages. School retention achieved, with gender disparities



**SO3 Smallholder farmer support**: caseload increased; post-harvest losses reduced. Activities affected by insecurity levels



**SO4 Capacity strengthening** partial implementation, limited progress



**SO5 Common services:** humanitarian community's access to remote regions strongly supported

#### CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES



**Gender and protection:** mainstreaming improved; risk analysis, partners' capacity, awareness-raising to be enhanced



Access: significant achievements; security management challenging



**Sustainability** of WFP actions remain limited; need to enhance institutional capacity strengthening



**Triple nexus:** poorly documented and operationalised, anecdotal evidence exists of decreased inter-community violence

# Q3 TO WHAT EXTENT DID WFP USE ITS RESOURCES EFFICIENTLY IN CONTRIBUTING TO ICSP OUTPUTS AND STRATEGIC OUTCOMES?



**Timeliness:** Food distributions suffered delays. Enhancements with the shift to CBT



**Targeting:** issues with the shift from status- to vulnerability-based approach



**Resource optimization:** efforts to improve the efficiency of its activities. Monitoring improved with expanded WFP presence in the country

# Q4 WHAT ARE THE FACTORS THAT EXPLAIN WFP PERFORMANCE AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH IT HAS MADE THE STRATEGIC SHIFT EXPECTED BY THE ICSP?



**Funding** improved, fluctuations and earmarking affected flexibility and medium-term approaches



**Partnerships**: mainly with international NGOs. Opportunities to enhance partnerships with public institutions and UN agencies



Monitoring system strengthened, quality and use could improve



Other limiting factors: insecurity, logistical challenges, staff turnover

### **CONCLUSIONS**



**Strategic positioning:** transition from crisis response to early recovery assistance below expectations



Resilience: low funding, partnerships opportunities to be explored



**Contextual needs and opportunities**: investments in cash transfers and digitalization



**Security**: interventions dependent on access; need to integrate stabilisation and conflict analyses



**Targeting and coverage:** issues with geographical prioritization and individual targeting

# CONCLUSIONS (CONT.)



**Gender, protection and equity:** some modest progress in integration and promotion



**Funding:** funds mainly focused on crisis response. Good examples of adaptation and flexibility



**Capacity strengthening:** stronger planning and links with operational issues needed



Partnerships: opportunities for improved synergies and joint approaches



Monitoring: system strengthened; quality and use could improve

### RECOMMENDATIONS

3

**Streamline next CSP**, context-specific adjustments and transition-focussed approaches

Increase the **prevention focus of crisis response**, enhance resilience mechanisms, and related targeting

Review monitoring and HR internal processes

Strengthen **joint actions and partnerships** in the various sectors of intervention

Strengthen the **integration of gender and protection** into programming

Support the links with conflict and stabilization dynamics