Corporate Planning and Performance Division

Implementation status of evaluation recommendations (ISR) report for 2021

SAVING LIVES CHANGING LIVES

World Food

Programme

Round table on evaluation reports, 26 May 2022

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE ISR REPORT

Learning and accountability mechanism providing an overview of WFP's uptake of evaluation recommendations

Covers 1,005 recommendations due to be implemented between 2016 and 2021

This period corresponds to the duration of WFP's previous **evaluation policy**

Enhanced and more granular **analysis** in response to **Executive Board comments** last year

Deep dive on recommendations related to **cross-cutting priorities**



MANAGEMENT RESPONSE PROCESS



WFP produced an **average of 32 evaluations per year in 2016-2021**, compared to an average of 18 evaluations each year between 2010-2015 (78% increase)



WFP prepares management responses to all evaluations, to ensure that completed evaluations are properly used

Responses to centralized evaluations are presented to the Executive Board

Implementation of all agreed responses is tracked through the R2 risk and recommendation tracking tool



KPI METHODOLOGY



Percentage of implemented evaluation recommendations

- Measures timely implementation each year, as agreed in management responses (original due dates)
- Identifies not implemented recommendations: open or closed without implementation
- Reviews recommendations previously tracked at action level only; analysis elevated for 2020 reporting
- Recommendations are now only considered completed if the totality of actions have been addressed

KEY FINDINGS: OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION OF Recommendations 2016-2021

Key Findings

- The percentage of evaluation recommendations implemented (KPI) for 2021 was at 58%
- Recommendations due in 2020 were now at 78% implementation, up from 56%
- Of the total 1,005 recommendations since 2016, 84% had been implemented
- Between 2016-2019, 92% have now been implemented

■ Implemented ■ Closed without implementation □ Open



*Final data for this report as of 25 March 2022 ** percentage of evaluation recommendations implemented





KEY FINDINGS: NOT IMPLEMENTED RECOMMENDATIONS 2016-2021

- **17 were closed without implementation**, often due to limited funding or changed priorities
- **153** (15%) **were open**, but implementation was often under way





* Country offices in the Western Africa region had the highest number (251) of recommendations to implement.

** The bureau for Eastern Africa had a much lower absolute number (5) of recommendations.



KEY FINDINGS: IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS BY EVALUATION TYPE

Key Findings

Of the 1,005 recommendations, **445** were from a **centralized** evaluation while **560** arose from **decentralized** evaluations

There were similar implementation rates between CEs and DEs: **84%** vs **82%**

Lowest progress was observed in **pilot**, **CSP** and (decentralized) **impact** evaluations

Few and more **recent** recommendations in these 3 categories; overall implementation rate was not significantly affected





KEY FINDINGS: IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS BY CROSS-CUTTING PRIORITY

Key Findings

MOPAN and similar external reviews: Standards require that WFP systematically applies these priorities

Of the **198** related recommendations, **81%** were implemented

<u>Gender</u>

Most were about gender, with a slightly lower implementation rate at **73%**

WFP is better at implementing gender recommendations from **operations** (91%) and **activity** (84%) evaluations

By contrast, 63% of the gender recommendations from **thematic** evaluations were implemented, which are more **structural and systematic**



KEY CONCLUSIONS

Challenges	Reporting gaps	Closing the cycle	Most evaluations are eventually implemented
WFP is often challenged to meet implementation deadlines	Some reporting gaps, mainly older recommendations	All offices instructed to close all recommendations due for years 2016- 2019 during 2022	High level of use of evaluation looking at multi-year implementation rates (UN JIU)







New strategic plan and CRF 2022-25 place increased emphasis on evidence and learning

	lorid Food Programme rogramme Alimentaire Mondial rograma Mundial de Alimentos אַרָוֹאָבָ וּצְׁאָרָאָ	Executive Board First regular session Rome, 28 February–2 March 2022
Distribution: Genera	al Ag	enda item 4
Date: 18 February 2	.022 W	FP/EB.1/2022/4-A/Rev.1
Original: English	Po	licy issues
	Fa	r approval
Executive Board docume	ents are available on WFP's website (h	ttps://executiveboard.wfp.org).
Draft decisio	n*	
approves the corp	lorate results framework for 2	222-2025 (WFP/EB.1/2022/4-A/Rev.1).
document issued at th	m. For the final decision adopted by t e end of the session.	he Board, please refer to the decisions and recommendations
* This is a draft decisio document issued at th Focal points: Marcol Juneia	e end of the session.	he Board, please refer to the decisions and recommandations

Specifically, **Management Result 5 on evidence and learning** contains outputs and KPIs related to this

fanagement result 5: Evidence and learning			
ay performance indicators	Baseline	Target (2022)	Means of verification
Overall progress in CSP results achievement			
ercentage of outcome indicators achieved or on track	66%	85%	Country offices
ercentage of output indicators achieved or on track	60%	80%	Country offices
. Utilization of audit and evaluation recommendations			
iumber of outstanding audit recommendations	71	5	WFP database
ercentage of implemented evaluation recommendations (disaggregated by evaluation type)	56%	100%	WFP database
ercentage of WFP draft policies and draft CSPs which refer explicitly to evaluation evidence			Country offices
lumber of joint and system-wide evaluations in which WFP engaged in reporting year (QCPR) (common with UNICEF)			WFP database
. More systematic knowledge-sharing in support of evidence-based decision making			
lumber of engagements with WIP's network of knowledge management practitioners in headquarters, regional bureaux, country ffices			WFP database
ercentage increase in knowledge-sharing to support decision making			WFP database
fanagement access to evaluations as per the coverage norms established in the draft evaluation policy by evaluation type"			WFP database
valuation products accessed			WFP database
ercentage of country offices reporting at least 80 percent of beneficiary-related indicators, disaggregated by sex"		100%	Country offices

New evaluation policy and implementation reviews

	World Food Programme Programme Alimentaire Mondial Programa Mundial de Alimentos برتامج الأغذية العالمي	Executive Board First regular session Rome, 28 February-2 March 2022
Distribution: Ge	neral A	genda item 4
Date: 27 January	y 2022 V	/FP/EB.1/2022/4-C
Original: English	n P	olicy issues
	F	or approval
Executive Board do	cuments are available on WFP's website	(https://executiveboard.wfp.org).
Executive su	ation policy 2022	
Evaluation Gro	up and the Development Assist	it peer review under the aegis of the United Nations ance Committee of the Organisation for Economic
Evaluation Gro Co-operation a evaluation func 2014 real prog learning suppo that there is si accordingly. Th changes in circu from a well-bal and learning.	up and the Development Assiss nd Development. The peer revision produces high-quality evaluation areas has been made towards red by evaluative thinking, behi ill scope for further progress is updated (VP evaluation po- umstances since the last evaluation anced, utility-focused evaluation	ance Committee of the Organization for Economic with japotite, coulding that WPP independent uasions and that since the previous peer review it the vision of a WP culture of accountability and whour and systems. The peer review acknowledges words that vision and makes recommendations, and to words that vision and makes recommendations, and to prove all or the second state of the second state of the function that supports a culture of accountability of function that supports a culture of accountability of function that supports a culture of accountability
Evaluation Gro Co-operation a evaluation func 2014 real prog learning suppo that there is si accordingly. Th changes in circu from a well-bal and learning. The updated 1 2022-2025 has delivering its re	up and the Development Assiss and Development. The peer revision produces high-quality evaluative rest has been made towards ristl synchraft and the progress is updated WFP evaluation po- umstances since the last evalua- anced, utility-focused evaluation VFP evaluation policy is intro is made clear the organization suits. Evaluation evidence made	ance Committee of the Organisation for Economi iew is positive, concluding that WFPs independent usations and that since the previous peer review in the vision of a WFP culture of accountability and avolur and systems. The peer review acknowledges towards this vision and makes recommendations ling responds to those recommendations, and to in policy was approved, to ensure that WFP profile in policy was approved, to ensure that WFP profile
Evaluation Gro Co-operation a version func- evaluation func- 2014 real prog- learning suppo- that there is si accordingly. The changes in circu- from a well-bal and learning. The updated 11 2022-2025 has delivering its re to ensuring tha The updated W for evaluation. mature, particu- is enhanced wil	up and the Development Assiss to Development. The peer reve- tion produces high-quality was track by evaluative thinking, beh- strack by evaluative thinking, beh- all scope for further progress is updated WPP evaluation po- tail scope for further progress anared, utility-focused evaluation WFP evaluation policy is introse rade claser the organization studies. Pelavation policy is notes the builds on the provisous policy larly at the decemptaled evaluation WFP evaluation policy is notes the builds on the provisous policy larly at the decemptales decemptales and in WFP and that the evaluation	and committee of the Organisation for Economic et a positive, concluding that VMP3 independent usations and that since the previous per review in takino and appriment. The per review actionologies provide the second second second second second (or positive second constructions, and is concluding second constructions and is concluded as a firm when WFP3 strategic plan for its committees to becoming evidence-driven in the second second second second second second second second second second second first committees to becoming evidence-driven in the second se
Evaluation Geo Co-operation & evaluation func 2014 real program learning support hat there is a support from a well-bala and learning. The updated I 2022-2025 has delivering its re to ensuring the for evaluation. The updated W for evaluation. In evaluation for a support glob	up and the Development Assiss to Development. The peer reve- tion produces high-quality was track by evaluative thinking, beh- strack by evaluative thinking, beh- all scope for further progress is updated WPP evaluation po- tail scope for further progress anared, utility-focused evaluation WFP evaluation policy is introse rade claser the organization studies. Pelavation policy is notes the builds on the provisous policy larly at the decemptaled evaluation WFP evaluation policy is notes the builds on the provisous policy larly at the decemptales decemptales and in WFP and that the evaluation	and Committee of the Organisation for Bonnin usations and the since the previous peer relies in the particle counting that VPD is independent usations and the since the previous peer relies in the previous peer relies and the since the second in pactor was approved to ensure that VPD profits towards that vision and makes recommendations, and it is preported to be ensure that VPD profits that the second second second second and the counting second second second second second duced as a time when WPD second second second to transfer are second second second second second the most recent international learning and in function can further its perventings with other in function can further its perventings with other ment of the Sustainable Development Coas.
Evaluation Geo Co-operation & Co-operation & Learning support learning support accordingly. The changes in clicit from a well-bail and learning. The updated I 2022-2025 has delivering its re to ensuring bail The updated I for evaluation, mature, particu- is enhanced with to support glob	up and the Development Assis toto produces high-quality was toto produces high-quality was toto produces high-quality was toto produces high-quality and states and the state setup is updated WP evaluation op umstances lines the last evaluation of the setup of the setup of the setup is updated WP evaluation op umstances since the last evaluation of the setup of the setup of the umstances and the setup of the umstances of the setup of the programmes, plant, policities at programmes, plant, policities at the sub-setup of the setup of the programmes, plant, policities at the sub-setup of the setup of the programmes, plant, policities at the sub-setup of the setup of the programmes, plant, policities at the sub-setup of the setup of the programmes, plant, policities at the sub-setup of the setup of the last of the setup of the setup of the last of the setup of the setu	and Committee of the Organization for Economic et is posible, concluding that VMP3 independent usators and dhat since the previous per relief in the vision of a VMP3 fulliary of accountability and the vision of a VMP3 fulliary of accountability (in reports to being economications, and to ion policy was approved, to ensure that VMP profits duced at a sime when WMP3 strategic plan for function that supports a culture of accountability duced at a sime when WMP3 strategic plan for its committees to baccoming subsectively. The strategicture are international norms and banded and that strategists are independent and subsectively. The that strategists are independent and accountability that most seven international norms and banded and that fully the strategistic and valuation independent that that are of valuations and evaluation independent that the strategists are international norms and banded and that fully and the strategistic and the strategistic and the strategists are international norms and banded and the notice and relations fully the strategistic and valuation independent that not and evaluation independent and the strategistic and the strategistic and the strat



Thank you

