WFP
wfp.org

World Food Programme | 15 January 2018
**Emergency Preparedness and Support Response Division (OSE)**

WFP PREPAREDNESS | HURRICANE IRMA RESPONSE, HAITI 2017

HURRICANE IRMA, 2017

EVENT INFO

Max windspeed: 213.0 km/h

Max. Storm Surge: 3.25 m.

Current Coordinates: Lat: 24.1 Lon: -81.5

Warning ref.: NOAA - Bulletin #45

PEOPLE LIVING IN AREAS AT RISK

(All Countries Considered)

120 km/h windspeed ● 12,110,635

90 km/h windspeed ● 20,626,439

60 km/h windspeed ● 38,666,151

14/09 06:00 UTC
13/09 06:00 UTC
12/09 06:00 UTC
11/09 18:00 UTC
11/09 06:00 UTC
10/09 18:00 UTC
10/09 09:00 UTC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NORTH ATLANTIC OCEAN

Sargasso Sea

Freeport
Marsh Harbour
Nassau
Nicholls Town
BAHAMAS

Havana
Pinar del Río
CUBA
The Bight Five Cays

CURRENT CLASSIFICATION

Hurricane/Typhoon > 74 mph

## WORLD FOOD PROGRAMME PRESENCE

CLOSEST FACILITIES

Las Tunas Sub Office (CUB): 134 km on 08/09 at 21:00
Holguin Sub Office (CUB): 136 km on 08/09 at 21:00
Havana Country Office (CUB): 141 km on 10/09 at 09:00
Cap Haitien Field Office (HTI): 171 km on 07/09 at 21:00

CLOSEST HUMANITARIAN RESPONSE DEPOT

Panama City (PAN): 1469 km on 09/09 at 03:00

Automatically generated alert powered by:

ADAM - Automated Disaster Analysis and Mapping

WFP
wfp.org

PREPOSITIONING

WFP
wfp.org

EMERGENCY RESPONSE ROSTER

Right **People**

Right **Place**

Right **Time**

PRACTICE ➤ **DEPLOYMENT** ➤

FASTER simulation

Emergency
Response
Roster

OPERATIONAL TASK FORCE

Country Name Options Matrix

DD/Month/Year

Emergency Response levels are based on analysis of the following criteria: **Scale, Complexity, Urgency, Capacity,** and **Reputational Risk.**

	SCALE	COMPLEXITY	URGENCY	CAPACITY	REPUTATIONAL RISK
PROS (Maintain L-3)					
CONS (Deactivation)					
Recommendation					

Critical Risks

CONTEXTUAL RISKS:

Insecurity affecting beneficiaries, staff and operations

Insufficient funding sustained over time affects WFP's ability to meet needs

PROGRAMMATIC RISKS:

Food distributions interrupted /incomplete for an already extremely vulnerable population

Hand-to-mouth supply chain

Unhampered access to vulnerable groups

Drawbacks in expanding CBT response in urban settings

INSTITUTIONAL RISKS:

Potential gaps as internal control systems are being established leading to possible loss of control of food or assets

WFP RESPONSE | ROHINGYA REFUGEE RESPONSE, BANGLADESH 2017-2018

CLUSTERS AND COORDINATION

WFP-led/co-led:

FOOD SECURITY

**LOGISTICS**

EMERGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS

NUTRITION

WASH

HEALTH

AGRICULTURE

RECENT JOINT UN MISSION TO MALI

WFP
wfp.org

ALGERIA

MAURITANIA

MALI

TOMBOUCTOU

KIDAL

Kidal

SENEGAL

Kayes

KAYES

Goundam

Timbuktu

Niafunke

GAO

Gao

Menaka

GAMBIA

KOULIKORO

Douentza

MOPTI

Mopti

NIGER

GUINEA-BISSAU

Bamako

SEGOU

Segou

Niamey

Ouagadougou

BURKINA FASO

GUINEA

Sikasso

SIKASSO

GHANA

TOGO

BENIN

NIGER

Kankan

CÔTE D'IVOIRE

SIERRA LEONE

EMERGENCY DIVISION PRIORITIES:

➢ **Corporate Alert System**

➢ **Decentralization of FASTER**

➢ **Surge capacity**

➢ **Implementation of the Preparedness Policy**