
World Food Programme

Emergency Preparedness and Support Response Division (OSE)

27 September 2017





Country Office

Sub Office


Warehouse

wfp.org

90 km/h

60 km/h

Uncertainty



110 - 185 km/h

185 - 240 km/h

> 240 km/h

< 110 km/h

> 240 km/h

110 - 185 km/h

185 - 240 km/h

Major Town

Area

Intermediate Town

Densely Populated

Please report errors to hq.qis@wfp.org. :Event ID: 1000393 45. Coordinate system: GCS WGS 1984. Sources: GDACS, Landscan 2015, GAUL, WFP, ESRI. The designations employed and the presentation of material in this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever of WFP concerning the legal or constitutional status of any country, territory or sea area, or concerning the delimitation of frontiers.







Country Name Options Matrix

DD/Month/Year

Emergency Response levels are based on analysis of the following criteria: Scale, Complexity, Urgency, Capacity, and Reputational Risk.

	SCALE	COMPLEXITY	URGENCY	CAPACITY	REPUTATIONAL RISK
PROS (Maintain L-3)					
CONS (Deactivation)					
Recommendation					

CONTEXTUAL RISKS:

Insecurity affecting beneficiaries, staff and operations

Insufficient funding sustained over time affects WFP's ability to meet needs

PROGRAMMATIC RISKS:

Food distributions interrupted /incomplete for an already extremely vulnerable population

Hand-to-mouth supply chain

Unhampered access to vulnerable groups

Drawbacks in expanding CBT response in urban settings

INSTITUTIONAL RISKS:

Potential gaps as internal control systems are being established leading to possible loss of control of food or assets



WFP-led/co-led:















