

**17** PARTNERSHIPS  
FOR THE GOALS



**2** ZERO  
HUNGER



# WFP's Corporate Results Framework


13 September 2016



**World Food Programme**

# A Framework to Achieve Results

## The Corporate Results Framework is based on the Strategic Plan



A compendium of **result categories** used for **programme design** and tracked during **implementation**



An **accountability tool** aligned to the Strategic Plan and the CSP policy



The backbone of **enhanced performance management** on country and global levels

# CRF vs SRF: What's different?



- Introduction of **activity, output and outcome categories** linked to “free text” **outcome statements**

- **Inclusion of WFP activities**, generating the outputs contributing to outcomes

- Outcomes are formulated in relation to **Individuals, Households, Communities, Institutions, and Processes**



- **Nutrition-sensitive approach** and inclusion of indicators for relevant outcome categories



- Inclusion of additional indicators for **Gender** and **Accountability** to Affected Populations

# Established Tool – New Features



## Building on proven indicators

60% of indicators remain unchanged (food security, nutrition, smallholder support, emergency preparedness)



## New indicators

based on the Strategic Plan and SDG commitments (food value chains, nutrition sensitive approach, policy support)



## Strengthened indicators

Capacity development (Zero hunger), cross cutting areas (gender, protection, AAP)

# A Comprehensive Framework

## The CRF helps WFP demonstrate its contribution to national goals in different operating scenarios



**Country X**

- Focus on maintaining household access to food and enhancing government capacity to assist during crisis (SDG 2)
- Common coordination required (SDG 17)

**Country Y**

- Focus on food value chains and improved consumption of nutritious foods (SDG 2)
- Food security policy reform is also supported (SDG 17)

# Local Results – Global Performance

Results at outcome level will be **tailored to national contexts....**

..but different countries will **align to the same CRF outcome category and use standardized indicators...**

...which will allow WFP to **aggregate performance** and **show linkages to Country Portfolio Budgets**



Strengthened **planning, monitoring and reporting** processes, including linkages between **results and resources**

# Management Performance



Management Performance represents the **means to achieve programme results** and measures whether operations are managed **effectively, efficiently and economically**.



**A joint review** of proposed management indicators by Regional Directors and the Leadership group is scheduled for **late September**.



The **Executive Board** will be asked to **take note of the management results model** in November.

# Transitional Arrangements 2017



Three scenarios are considered for 2017 in terms of **planning, monitoring and reporting on results**:

- 1** 16 countries would take up CSPs and Country Portfolio Budgets in 2017 with logical frameworks based on the CRF (*transition to country-level reporting*)
- 2** Projects that continue beyond June 2017 will have their logical frameworks aligned to the SP 2017-2021 and the CRF (*project-based reporting – SPR*)
- 3** Projects ending in the first half of 2017 will remain aligned to the current SP and the SRF (*project-based reporting – SPR*)

**COMET** – WFP’s platform to keep track of a project’s performance – is being updated and will be ready for implementation of the Strategic Plan.

