
# Monitoring in WFP Progress update


Round table on Evaluation Rome, 24th October 2014



# Monitoring generates evidence for decisionmaking and accountability



# **WFP's Normative Framework for Monitoring**



## **Strengthening Monitoring: Recent Progress**

- ✓ Updating of the full suite of corporate guidance materials for monitoring;
- ✓ Development of new guidance materials on **outcome monitoring and Third Party Monitoring**;
- ✓ Development of new guidance package for M&E in emergencies (forthcoming);
- ✓ Development of norms, standards, guidance and tools to broaden coverage and improve the quality of reviews.
- ✓ Provision of **funds to support monitoring activities** at regional and country levels including \$1.2 million to support activities of the RMEAs;
- ✓ Provision of \$900,000 in grants to 21 COs for the strengthening of outcome measurement and reporting;
- ✓ Development of **Regional monitoring capacity** assessments and strategies
- ✓ Training on the new SRF indicators in all Regions, targeting all CO and RB M&E staff;
- ✓ Launch of a M&E Learning Programme (MELP);
- ✓ Scale-up in the use of remote technologies in 10 'self-starter' countries;
- ✓ Full roll-out of COMET Design module and partial roll out of the Implementation module;

#### **COMET modules**



#### **Design Module**

Assist in creating Project logframe



Recording your **M&E results** over time

- Strategic Objectives
- Outcomes

### **Implementation Module**

Assist in creating **Plans** at different levels.

Monitoring operational progress and reporting on actual achievements at outputs level



activity







Transfer



Feeding





MT / \$

Partner

#### **Monitoring Module**



Broader M&E features to support monitoring planning, beneficiary feedback, mobile data collection and analysis, links with VAM assessment and surveillance information, etc.




# Key systemic weaknesses still to be tackled

✓ Inconsistent **fund allocation** from project budgets to conduct monitoring at country level in-line with the Normative Framework



✓ Insufficient numbers and capacity of Monitoring staff at country level



✓ Prioritization of monitoring by managers



# Thank you!

